Case 2:24-cv-01889-JDP Document	t 5 Filed 09/30/24 Page 1 of 2
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE EASTERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
NICOLE M. ADAMIK,	Case No. 2:24-cv-01889-JDP (HC)
Petitioner,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
v.	RESPONSE DUE WITHIN TWENTY-ONE
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY SUPERIOR	DAYS
- Respondents.	
On July 18, 2024, I ordered petitioner to submit, within thirty days, either the \$5 filing fee	
or an application for leave to proceed in form	a pauperis. ECF No. 4. To date, petitioner has done
neither.	
To manage its docket effectively, the	court imposes deadlines on litigants and requires
litigants to meet those deadlines. The court r	may dismiss a case for petitioner's failure to
prosecute or failure to comply with its orders	or local rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Hells
Canyon Pres. Council v. U.S. Forest Serv., 40	03 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005); Carey v. King, 856
	tary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but a district court
	and avoid needless burden for the parties. See
Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9t)	
	splain why the court should not dismiss the case for
his failure either to pay the filing fee or to sul	bmit an application for leave to proceed <i>in forma</i> 1
	1
	UNITED STAT FOR THE EASTERN  NICOLE M. ADAMIK, Petitioner, V. SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT, et al., Respondents.  On July 18, 2024, I ordered petitioner or an application for leave to proceed in form neither. To manage its docket effectively, the litigants to meet those deadlines. The court r prosecute or failure to comply with its orders Canyon Pres. Council v. U.S. Forest Serv., 44 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988). Involun has a duty to administer justice expeditiously Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th

## Case 2:24-cv-01889-JDP Document 5 Filed 09/30/24 Page 2 of 2

1	pauperis. Petitioner's failure to respond to this order will constitute a failure to comply with a
2	court order and will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Accordingly,
3	petitioner is ordered to show cause within twenty-one days why this case should not be dismissed
4	for failure to pay the filing fee, failure to prosecute, and failure to comply with court orders.
5	Should petitioner wish to continue with this action, he shall, within twenty-one days, either pay
6	the \$5 filing fee or submit a complete application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. The
7	Clerk of Court is directed to send to petitioner the court's form application for leave to proceed in
8	forma pauperis.
9	ATT NO GO ODDEDED
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated: September 30, 2024	Dated: September 30, 2024
12	JEREMY D. PETERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	
27	
28	
	d .